UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

ALEXANDER VOLLMER and JESSICA DIVELBISS, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRIGHTSTAR PROPERTY MAINTENANCE SERVICES, INC., and Leon Nelson, Individually,

Defendants.
/

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, ALEXANDER VOLLMER and JESSICA DIVELBISS (hereinafter referred to as "PLAINTIFFS"), in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and by and through their undersigned counsel, sue the Defendants, BRIGHTSTAR PROPERTY MAINTENANCE, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "BRIGHTSTAR"), and LEON NELSON, Individually (hereinafter referred to as "NELSON") collectively known as ("DEFENDANTS") and alleges as follows.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1441(b).

2. Venue lies within the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this Judicial District and is therefore proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 3. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs are residents of Osceola County, Florida.
- 4. At all times material herein, Defendant, BRIGHTSTAR was and is a Florida for profit corporation, and doing business in this judicial district. At all times material Defendant, BRIGHTSTAR, was an employer as defined by the FLSA.
- 5. Defendant, NELSON, is the President of Brightstar Property Maintenance Services, Inc., and was acting in a supervisory capacity for Brightstar Property Maintenance Services, Inc. Defendant, Nelson, had the power to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled employee work schedules or conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of payment and/or maintained employment records. Defendant, Nelson, possessed operational control of business activities.
 - 6. Defendant, Nelson, was involved in the day-to-day operations and

had direct responsibility for the supervision of Plaintiffs.

7. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs were employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(l), Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), and Defendants employed Plaintiffs within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(g).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. At all times material, Defendants willfully violated the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA").
- 9. Defendants are an "enterprise" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(l)(A) in that it (a) has employees engaged in commerce or has employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce, or (b) has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done that is not less than \$500,000.00.
- 10. Alternatively, Plaintiffs are covered as individuals under the FLSA because Plaintiffs engaged in interstate commerce as part of their job with Defendants, 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 11. Plaintiffs have retained the undersigned counsel to represent their interest in this action and are obligated to pay them a reasonable fee for their services.

FACTS

- 12. Plaintiff, Alexander Vollmer, began his employment on or about March 2022.
- 13. Plaintiff, Jessica Divelbiss, began her employment on or about March 2022.
 - 14. Defendants provide full-service commercial property maintenance.
 - 15. Plaintiffs' primary duties involved parking lot sweeping.
 - 16. Plaintiffs were compensated on an hourly rate of \$13.75 per hour.
- 17. Plaintiffs regularly and routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week.
- 18. Plaintiff, Alexander Vollmer, estimates he worked an average of ninety hours each week.
- 19. Plaintiff, Jessica Divelbiss, estimates she worked an average of ninety hours per week.
 - 20. Defendant failed and refused to pay Plaintiffs for the hours worked.

COUNT I FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (UNPAID OVERTIME) AS TO ALL DEFENDENTS

21. Plaintiffs re-allege and adopt, as if fully set forth herein, the

allegations stated in Paragraphs one (1) through twenty (20).

- 22. Defendants are subject to the requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 23. Throughout their employment with Defendants, Plaintiffs worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, for which they were not compensated at the overtime rate.
- 24. The FLSA requires each covered employer such as Defendants to compensate all non-exempt employees, such as Plaintiffs, at a rate of not less than $1\frac{1}{2}$ times their regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week.
- 25. Plaintiffs are entitled to be paid overtime compensation for all overtime hours worked for Defendants.
- 26. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime at a rate not less than 1 ½ times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week, violates the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 27. Defendants knew or had reason to know that Plaintiffs performed work in excess of forty (40) hours per work week.
- 28. Defendants' violations of the FLSA were intentional and willful and in reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiffs. Defendants knew that it's conduct

was prohibited by the FLSA and/or showed reckless disregard about whether it was.

- 29. As a direct result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs suffered damages by being denied overtime wages in accordance with § 207 and § 216(b) of the FLSA and has incurred reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 30. As a result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs are entitled liquidated damages.
- 31. Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendants including, but not limited to:

- a. Awarding Plaintiffs overtime compensation in the amount due to them for the time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week as allowable under the FLSA statute of limitations period;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
 - c. Awarding prejudgment interest;
- d. Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
 - e. Determining that the FLSA was violated and an adjudication on the

merits of the case;

f. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

- 32. Plaintiffs re-allege and adopt, as if fully set forth herein, the allegations stated in Paragraphs one (1) through twenty (20).
- 33. At all times material, Defendants employed numerous individuals who were paid in a similar manner to Plaintiffs. Such individuals were similarly situated to Plaintiffs with respect to the terms and conditions of their employment.
- 34. Throughout their employment, individuals similarly situated to Plaintiffs were required to work and did work a substantial number of hours in excess of forty (40) hours per work week.
- 35. At all times material, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., in that individuals similarly situated to Plaintiffs worked for Defendants in excess of the maximum hours provided by law, but no provision was made by Defendants to compensate such individuals at the rate of time and one-half for hours worked in excess of forty (40).
- 36. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required overtime pay was intentional and willful.
 - 37. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts,

individuals similarly situated to Plaintiffs have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, respectfully requests all legal and equitable relief allowed by law, including judgment against Defendants for overtime compensation, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the prosecution of this claim and such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT III FLORIDA STATUTE CHAPTER 448.08 - UNPAID WAGES AS TO DEFENDANT, BRIGHTSTAR PROPERTY MAINTENANCE SERVICES, INC.

- 38. Plaintiffs re-allege and adopt, as if fully set forth herein, the allegations stated in Paragraphs one (1) through twenty (20).
- 39. Plaintiffs earned wages over the course of their employment which are owed and payable by the Defendant, BRIGHTSTAR, pursuant to Florida Statute Chapter 448.08.
- 40. Plaintiffs' claim is for all uncompensated hours worked by Plaintiffs that were not overtime hours.
- 41. Defendant, BRIGHTSTAR, despite Plaintiff's reasonable attempts to obtain payment of these earned monies, has failed and refused to make payment as required by Florida Statute Chapter 448.08.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests all legal and equitable relief allowed by law including judgment against Defendants, BRIGHTSTAR, for back pay, unpaid wages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the prosecution of the claim and such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 6TH day of May 2022.

FLORIN GRAY BOUZAS OWENS, LLC

/s/Wolfgang M. Florin
Wolfgang M. Florin
Florida Bar No. 907804
wflorin@fgbolaw.com
Christopher D. Gray
Florida Bar No. 0902004
cgray@fgbolaw.com
16524 Pointe Village Drive, Suite 100
Lutz, FL 33558
Telephone (727) 220-4000
Facsimile (727) 483-7942
Attorneys for Plaintiff